

*From the
Student Faculty
Judiciary*

Re-typed

February 17, 1970

*old
copy*

At the request of President Jamrich, the Student-Faculty Judiciary has met and made the following recommendations for procedural clarification and change in the University judicial process:

- ✓ 1. A referee should be selected by the Office of the President from outside the University community at the request of either the Student-Faculty Judiciary or the All-University Student Judiciary.
- ✓ 2. The judiciary should have the authorization to recess at its own convenience for continuation at a later date.
- ✓ 3. The judiciary should have the authorization to dismiss cases where there is proof of insufficient evidence.
4. The judiciary should be under the authorization of a neutral body.
- ✓ 5. A pre-trial hearing should be held in those situations where it is questionable as to whether or not there is sufficient evidence for a formal hearing.
6. *by a party, a party in the presence of a party, but*
in the event of a conflict of interest, responsibility should be shifted to a neutral party.
7. The secretary to the judicial body should not hold the tie-breaking vote.

*of the
University
Council*